

Exhibit 9
(David Ford Email, dated May 5, 2009)

From: David Ford
To: 'Melissa A. Hamkins (mah@wright-pierce.com)'; 'Peter C. Atherton'; 'Richard N. Davee'; 'npc@wright-pierce.com'; 'Gary L. Smith (gls@wright-pierce.com)'
CC: 'Scott W. Pike'
Sent: 5/7/2009 2:23:11 PM
Subject: Peer review of Hydro geo report
Attachments: Draft Nutrient Hydrogeologic Review pig-bam final draft 8-27-09.doc; Draft Response to Peer Review Comments memo 09-5-07.pdf; Hydrogeologic Review 8-9-07.pdf; Look at the Fish 1-16-09.pdf; Peer review Hydro 7-20-07.pdf

W-P,

Attached are 3 versions of peer review performed by W-S. I am forwarding for your information in our effort to "look at the fish" If you do not know what that means, I have attached the story.

Based on info I have now it appears the Town under my direction overloaded the RIB's during the period from 3-4 to 3-25, during the spring, with high ground water and snow melt, a most likely bad combination.

Prior to making any major repairs or adjustments, I would like the W-P team to study the data we have and will obtain to better understand what is and might happen.

1- At this point I am holding on the SW Cole proposal.

2- Any comments on the ponding in basin 1 that was observed by Russ Howe on Tuesday 5-5-09?

3- I plan on increasing flow to 300,000 gpd in basin 2. Do we have a problem running pumps at most efficient flow of 700 gpm for about 7 hours straight a day or should we have pump go off and on or have pump discharge at a lower rate over a longer period of time

4- I am very concerned about the large spruce tree below the crack (slump area) being weakened by the slump and increased water table, making it susceptible to blow down. If that were to happen, it would open up a rather big hole in side of hill above wetland.

I propose cutting tree down, leaving stump and tree wherever it falls. Does anyone have any thoughts about doing this?

Dave